

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary****Application No.**

10/565,682

**Applicant(s)**

TAUCHI ET AL

**Examiner**S. CAMILLA  
POURBOHLOUL**Art Unit**

1796

**All Participants:**(1) S. CAMILLA POURBOHLOUL(2) Attorney Surinder Sachar**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 31 July 2009**Time:** 3:00 p.m.**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:***Restriction of claims into three groups:**Group I, II, and III***Prior art documents discussed:****Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Mr. Sachar did not elect on the phone and requested a written restriction requirement.***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/James J. Scidleck/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)